

Table of Contents

List of Authors	XI
-----------------------	----

INTRODUCTION

Personalization and Granularity of Legal Norms in the Data Economy: A Transatlantic Debate (<i>Busch/De Franceschi</i>)	1
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Part 1

The Concept of Personalized Law

A. Personalizing Default Rules and Disclosure with Big Data (<i>Porat/Strahilevitz</i>)	5
I. Introduction	5
II. Theories of personalized default rules	9
1. Contract law default rules	10
2. Majoritarian default rules	11
a) In general	11
b) Personalized Majoritarian Default Rules	12
3. Minoritarian (or penalty) default rules	13
a) In general	13
b) Minoritarian default rules as facilitators of personalized default rules.....	15
4. Third-party effects.....	16
III. The feasibility of personalized default rules	17
1. Big Data and Big Five	18
2. Big Data in the law	23
a) Consumer contracts.....	23
b) Organ donation.....	24
c) Medical malpractice.....	26
d) Landlord–tenant law.....	28
e) Labor law.....	29
3. Big Data guinea pigs.....	30
IV. Possible Objections and Limitations	32
1. Cross Subsidies.....	33
2. Strategic Behavior.....	34
3. Abuse by Merchants	35
4. Uncertainty	36
5. Case law Fragmentation	38
6. Statistics, Stereotyping, and Valuable Default Rules	39
7. Subordination, adaptive preferences, and personalization.....	41
8. Privacy	44
9. “But I Can Change!” and opting in	46
V. Personalized disclosure	47
VI. Conclusion	51
B. Personalizing Negligence Law (<i>Ben-Shahar/Porat</i>)	54
I. Introduction	54
II. Personalized negligence under existing law	60
1. Diminished capacity	61
a) Physically disabled	61
b) Children.....	61
c) Mentally disabled	62
2. Elevated capacity	63
3. Resource-based personalization	64

Table of Contents

4. Personalization through insurance?	65
5. Summary	66
III. The efficiency of personalized standards	67
1. Levels of care	67
a) Skill-based personalization	67
b) Risk-based personalization	69
c) Self-personalization	71
d) Summary	73
2. Levels of activity	74
a) Improving or distorting levels of activity	74
b) Activity levels with self-personalization	75
c) A hybrid regime.....	76
3. Victim care.....	76
a) Skill-based personalization	77
b) Risk-based personalization	78
4. Ex ante investment in improving private characteristics.....	78
a) Skill-based personalization	79
aa) Personalized Standards	79
bb) Uniform standards with full compliance.....	79
b) Risk-based personalization	80
aa) Personalized standards.....	80
bb) Uniform standards with full compliance.....	81
5. Summary	82
IV. Justice considerations	83
1. Corrective justice	83
2. Distributive justice	84
a) Among injurers.....	85
b) Victims versus injurers.....	86
V. Broadening personalization.....	87
1. Procedures for implementing personalized standards.....	88
a) Gradual personalization	89
b) Presumptions.....	89
2. Which personal information?.....	90
a) Physical characteristics.....	91
b) Cognitive and emotional characteristics	91
c) Past behaviors	94
d) Resources.....	95
VI. Conclusion	95
C. The Death of Rules and Standards (Casey/Niblett)	98
I. Introduction.....	98
II. The emergence of microdirectives and the decline of rules and standards.....	102
1. Background: Rules and standards	102
2. Technology will facilitate the emergence of microdirectives as a new form of law	103
a) Predictive Technology	103
b) Communication Technology	104
c) Summary	104
3. Examples	105
a) Predictive technology in medical diagnosis	105
b) Communication technology in traffic laws	108
4. The different channels leading to the death of rules and standards.....	109
a) The production of microdirectives by nonlegislative lawmakers.....	109
aa) Regulatory microdirectives.....	109
bb) Judicial microdirectives.....	110
b) An alternative path: Private use of technology by regulated actors	111
III. Conclusion	112

Table of Contents

Part 2 Critique and Theoretical Perspectives

D. The Law between Generality and Particularity. Chances and Limits of Personalized Law <i>(Grigoleit/Bender)</i>	115
I. Introduction	115
II. Distinctions and notional specifications	116
1. Technology-related specifications	116
a) Technological vs. conventional	117
b) Rule-elaboration (Big Data) vs. rule-communication (Big Link)	118
2. Law-related specifications	118
a) Factual-private vs. legal-public	119
b) Rules vs. standards	120
c) Evolution (changes within the system) vs. revolution (changes of the system)	121
III. Evolutionary perspectives	121
1. Torts: The reasonable standard of care	122
a) Limits of personalization of standards	122
b) Ex post-rule-personalization	122
c) Ex ante-rule-personalization	124
2. Contracts	125
a) Default Rules	125
aa) Theoretical objections to the purely empirical approach to default rules	126
bb) Constitutional limits: equality and freedom	127
b) Disclosure rules	130
IV. Revolutionary perspectives	131
1. The digital revolution as a factual phenomenon	131
a) Generalizing the field-specific approach	131
b) Especially: dealing with probabilities	131
2. Limitations to changes of the very structure of the legal system	132
a) Legitimacy-related concerns with regard to algorithmization	132
b) Constitutional concerns with regard to personalization	133
aa) Separation of powers: possible power shifts away from the parliament and the judiciary	133
bb) Democracy: dissolution of publicity	134
cc) Equality: discrimination and dissolution	135
V. Conclusions	136
E. Granular Norms and the Concept of Law: A Critique <i>(Auer)</i>	137
I. The Inevitability of Legal Typification	138
II. The Problem of Algorithmic Discrimination	142
III. The Scope of Granular Law and the Rise of Consumerism	145
IV. Regulation and the Rule of Law	149
V. Granularization and the Problem of Rule-Following	151
F. Logopoeia: Normative Typification and Granular Norm's Informational Differentiation <i>(Femia)</i>	155
I. More acts or more words: negotia, pragmata, activities	155
II. Two ways of grasping reality: taming the chaos with Emilio Betti and Tullio Ascarelli ..	157
III. End of the journey among the concepts' penumbra. From type to typification, and from typification to dissemination	160
IV. Big data: quantities make a qualitative shift in nomogenesis	161
V. Nomogenesis at the intersection point between normative technique and informational limit	163
VI. The loss of informational innocence	164
VII. Norms on the move	165
VIII. Les communications & les commerces	166
IX. Politics or Algorithmics	170

Table of Contents

G. “Granularization” and Cross-Subsidies: Liberal, Neoliberal and Socialist Perspectives (<i>Denozza/Maugeri</i>)	172
I. Granularization: a consistent outcome of a neoliberal trend.....	172
II. The costs of granularization: the many shortcomings of algorithmic governmentality....	174
III. Liberal general principles v. neoliberal “granularized” rules.....	177
IV. Is granularization efficient? Abstraction and totality in neoliberal thought.....	179
V. Granularization and cross subsidy	180
VI. What’s wrong, if anything, with cross-subsidy?.....	181
Part 3	
Personalization in Contract, Consumer and Tort Law	
H. ‘Granular Legal Norms’ in the Financial Services Trade (<i>Sirena</i>)	185
I. The advent of a digital law.....	185
II. The trend towards the personalization of private law: from the ‘average consumer’ to the ‘images of the consumer’	187
III. The discourse on granular legal norms (particularly with regard to the duties of disclosure provided by European contract law).....	192
IV. The personalization of financial services	195
1. The know-your-customer rule in investment services	195
2. The know-your-customer rule in insurance services.....	198
3. The know-your-customer rule in credit banking	198
V. Some final remarks	201
I. De- or Re-typification through Big Data Analytics? The Case of Consumer Law (<i>Micklitz</i>).....	203
I. Clarification and Argument.....	203
II. From Typification to Granularization prior to Big Data Analytics.....	206
1. Consumer images.....	206
2. Consumer law 2.0.....	206
3. Contractual underworld.....	207
4. Consumerization of consumer law.....	208
III. From Granularization to Personalization through Big Data Analytics	209
1. Digitalization	209
2. Big data analytics.....	211
IV. Big Data Analytics in Law Making and Law Enforcement	212
1. Evidence based policy through big data analytics	213
2. Shortcomings of statistics	214
V. Prospects for big data analytics in consumer law.....	215
1. Information rights and obligations	216
2. Reducing the complexity of information through big data analytics.....	217
3. Complexities of consumer law enforcement.....	217
4. Reducing complexity of enforcement through big data?	219
VI. Big Data Analytics and Re-typification.....	220
J. Personalization of the Law and Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts (<i>Patti</i>)	221
I. Introduction.....	221
II. The setting within the European context	222
1. The theoretical framework.....	222
2. The European methodology	223
a) The scope of application of the judicial control	223
b) The unfairness test	225
c) The consequences of unfairness.....	227
3. A personalized approach?	229
III. The role of personalized law.....	229
1. Personalized default rules.....	229
2. Personalized Mandatory Rules.....	230
IV. The enforcement.....	233
V. Conclusion	234

Table of Contents

K. Personalization of Tort Law? (von Bar)	236
 Part 4 Technological and Behavioral Perspectives	
L. Personalized Law and the Behavioral Sciences (Hacker)	241
I. A very short introduction to behavioral law and economics.....	242
1. Behavioral economics	242
2. Legal applications	243
II. The knowledge problem in behavioral law and economics	244
1. Uncertainty about the true rationality of market actors.....	244
2. Psychometrics and the quantification of bias.....	245
3. Personalized law as a solution to the knowledge problem.....	246
III. Examples of personalized behavioral law	247
1. Disclosures	247
a) General idea.....	247
b) Concrete examples	248
a) Consumer disclosures: personalizing the Consumer Rights Directive	248
b) Financial disclosures: personalizing MiFID II.....	249
2. Default rules	250
a) General idea.....	250
b) Concrete examples	251
3. Mandatory law	252
a) General idea.....	252
b) Concrete examples	252
aa) Personalizing unfair commercial practices under the UCPD.....	253
bb) The benefits of personalization.....	257
IV. The limits of personalized behavioral law	258
1. The strength of empirical correlations	258
2. Algorithmic bias and discrimination	259
V. Good governance of personalized behavioral law	261
1. Privacy respecting metrics.....	261
2. Oversight and algorithmic auditing	262
VI. Conclusion	263
M. “Smart Contract”, “Granular Norms” and Non-Discrimination(Zeno-Zencovich)	264
I. Only words.....	264
II. How “smart” can contracts be?.....	268
III. Creditworthiness	271
IV. “Granular norms”.....	275
V. Non-discrimination in the age of big data	278
N. Algorithmic Regulation and (Im)Perfect Enforcement in the Personalized Economy (Busch)	279
I. Introduction.....	279
II. Big Data and the Crisis of Generalities	280
III. Making Laws for the Personalized Economy.....	281
1. Granular Legal Norms: The Demise of Typifications?.....	281
2. Use Cases of Personalized Law	282
a) Personalized Disclosures on Digital Marketplaces	283
b) De-biasing Customer Ratings in the Sharing Economy.....	283
c) Personalized Traffic Rules in the Smart City.....	285
3. Fighting Fire with Fire: Adverse Targeting meets Personalized Law.....	286
IV. Governance of Algorithms for Personalized Law	288
1. Personalized Law as Algorithmic Regulation	288
2. Privacy and Choice	289
3. Quality of Data and Models	290
4. Compliance Monitoring and Algorithmic Auditing	290
V. Conclusion	291

List of Authors

Marietta Auer is Director at the Max Planck Institute for European Legal History in Frankfurt am Main and Professor of Private Law and international and interdisciplinary Foundations of Law at the University of Gießen, Germany. She received her doctorate in law and habilitation from the University of Munich (2003, 2012) as well as LL.M. and S.J.D. degrees from the Harvard Law School (2000, 2012). She has published extensively in the fields of private law, legal theory, and philosophy of law. Recent publications include *Der privatrechtliche Diskurs der Moderne* (Tübingen 2014) and *Zum Erkenntnisziel der Rechtstheorie. Philosophische Grundlagen multidisziplinärer Rechtswissenschaft* (Baden-Baden 2018).

Philip Maximilian Bender, LL.M. (Yale Law School), Maître en droit (Paris II/Panthéon-Assas) currently is a Research Associate at the Max Planck Institute for Tax Law and Public Finance in Munich, as well as a Visiting Fellow at the Information Society Project at Yale Law School, and a Lecturer at Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU). He is qualified for the bar in both Germany and New York. His research focuses on contracts, law & tech, theory of law, and constitutional implications in private law.

Omri Ben-Shahar is Leo and Eileen Herzel Professor of Law, Kearney Director of the Coase-Sandor Institute for Law and Economics at University of Chicago.

Christoph Busch, Maître en droit (Paris X), is Professor of Law at the University of Osnabrück and Visiting Fellow at the Yale Information Society Project at Yale Law School. He is co-chairman of the European Law Institute's Digital Law Group and a member of the European Commission's Expert Group to the EU Observatory on the Online Platform Economy. He is principal investigator of the research project "Granular Society – Granular Law? Individuality and Normative Models in the Data Society" funded by the Volkswagen Foundation under a Momentum Grant (2019–2024).

Tony Casey is Professor of Law and the Faculty Director of the Center on Law and Finance at The University of Chicago Law School. His research examines the intersection of law and finance as well as the effects of technological innovation on legal systems. Before entering academics, Professor Casey was a partner at Kirkland & Ellis LLP. He received his JD from The University of Chicago Law School. After law school, Casey clerked for Chief Judge Joel M. Flaum of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Alberto De Franceschi is Professor of Private Law, Intellectual Property Law and Environmental Law at the University of Ferrara. He is member of the Italian National University Council, co-chairman of the European Law Institute's Digital Law Group, founding member and co-editor of the Journal of European Consumer and Market Law and of The Italian Law Journal. His current research focuses on issues related to the sale of goods, supply of digital content, privacy regulation and artificial intelligence.

Francesco Denozza is Professor Emeritus of Commercial Law at the Faculty of Law of the University of Milan. He was Dean of the Faculty of Law, University of Milan (2012–2014) and President of the Italian Association of University Professors of Commercial Law (2009–2013). He is managing director of *Orizzonti del diritto commerciale* Online Journal of the Italian Association of University Professors of Commercial Law, co-editor of the Journal *Giurisprudenza Commerciale* and co-editor of the International Encyclopaedia of Laws – Competition Law/International Encyclopaedia for Competition Law. He is Member of the Milan Bar.

Pasquale Femia is full Professor of Private Law and Dean of the Department of Political Sciences of the Università della Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli". He is co-editor in chief of several journals – among which: *The Italian Law Journal* (Scopus indexed) and *Tecnologie e diritto* – and of the book series: *Il diritto e l'Europa, Storie dal fondo, La cultura del diritto civile*. His current research interests focus on philological method in private law theory, machine legal thinking, trans-subjectivity in commons.

List of Authors

Hans Christoph Grigoleit holds the Chair for Private Law, Commercial Law, Corporate Law and Theory of Private Law at Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich (LMU). His research focuses on corporate governance and finance, information liability, fundamental principles of contract law, theory of legal reasoning and law & tech.

Philipp Hacker, LL.M. (Yale), is Professor of Law and Ethics of the Digital Society at the European New School of Digital Studies, European University Viadrina. Before he was an AXA Postdoctoral Fellow at the Faculty of Law at Humboldt University of Berlin, a Max Weber Fellow at the European University Institute and an A.SK Fellow at WZB Berlin Social Science Center. His research focuses on the intersection of law and technology. In particular, he analyzes the impact of tracking technologies, Artificial Intelligence and the Internet of Things on consumer, privacy and anti-discrimination law. He often cooperates with computer scientists and mathematicians, especially on questions of explainable AI and algorithmic fairness.

Marisaria Maugeri is Professor of Private Law at the University of Catania (Italy). She is on secondment to the Centro Linceo Interdisciplinare Beniamino Segre (Accademia dei Lincei – Roma). Her main research interests are in the field of Consumer, Contract and Business Law in their domestic and European dimensions. Her current work focuses on the implications of the new technologies for commercial and consumer transactions. She is Member of the board of the Italian Association of Law & Economics. She is Chair of the Palermo Panel of the Banking and Financial Ombudsman.

Hans-W. Micklitz is Finland Distinguished Professor, University of Helsinki and Professor for Economic Law, Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies, European University Institute, Florence.

Anthony Niblett is an Associate Professor and Canada Research Chair in Law, Economics, & Innovation at the University of Toronto Faculty of Law. Professor Niblett researches law and economics, contract law, judicial behaviour, innovation, and competition policy. Professor Niblett holds a Ph.D. in economics from Harvard University as well as degrees in law and commerce from the University of Melbourne. Before joining the University of Toronto, he was a Bigelow Fellow at the University of Chicago Law School. In addition to his academic career, Professor Niblett is a co-founder of Blue J Legal, a start-up company bringing machine learning to tax and employment law.

Francesco Paolo Patti is Associate Professor of Private Law at the Bocconi University, Milan. He received an LL.M. from the Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster (2011) and a PhD from the Università degli Studi Sapienza Rome (2014). He was Research Assistant at the European University Institute (2016) and Senior Research Fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law (2018). His research focuses on European and comparative contract law, comparative succession law and autonomous vehicles liability.

Ariel Porat is the president of Tel Aviv University, a member of the Israel Academy of Sciences and the EMET Prize Laureate. In 2002–2006, he was the Dean of TAU Faculty of Law, and from 2003 until his appointment as president, in addition to being a professor at TAU, was the Fischel-Neil Distinguished Visiting Professor of Law at the University of Chicago. He was also a Visiting Professor at the Universities of Berkeley, Columbia, New York, Stanford, Toronto and Virginia. He is the author of several books including *Tort Liability under Uncertainty* (Oxford University Press, 2001) (with Alex Stein) and *Getting Incentives Right* (Princeton University Press, 2014) (with Robert Cooter).

Pietro Sirena is full Professor of Civil Law, European Private Law, and Comparative Private Law at Bocconi University, Milan, where he currently serves as Dean of Bocconi Law School. He is furthermore: Member of the Executive Committee of the European Law Institute (ELI); Research Director of the Società Italiana per la Ricerca nel Diritto Comparato (SIRD); Member of the Executive Committee of the Society of European Contract Law (SECOLA); Membre Associé of the Académie Internationale de Droit Comparé (AIDC); President of the Arbitro Bancario Finanziario (ABF) of Rome.

Jacob Lior Strahilevitz is Sidley Austin Professor of Law at University of Chicago.

List of Authors

Christian von Bar has been University Professor at Osnabrück since 1981. Founder and Director of the European Legal Studies Institute (ELSI) since 2003. Holder of the Leibniz Prize; Honorary Master of the Bench, Gray's Inn; Corresponding Fellow of the British Academy. Doctor iuris honoris causa Czestochowa, Helsinki, Katowice, Leuven, Novi Sad, Tartu, Uppsala, Warmia and Mazury University. Chairman of the Study Group on a European Civil Code. Member of the Commission on European Contract Law. Head of various international research groups.

Vincenzo Zeno-Zencovich is full Professor of Comparative Law at the University of Roma Tre. He holds degrees in Law and in Political Sciences from the university of Rome "La Sapienza". He has authored or edited over forty volumes and published over 300 articles, many of which in the field of information and communication technologies. The full-text of his latest publications can be found on SSRN. Since 2013 he is the chairman of the Italian Association of Comparative Law (AIDC).