

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	lv

PART ONE: THE FORMATION OF A CONTRACT

1. OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE	3
1. Introduction	3
(1) What is a Contract?	3
(2) Offer and Acceptance	4
2. Offers and Invitations to Treat	4
(1) Two General Illustrative Cases	5
<i>Harvey v Facey</i>	5
<i>Gibson v Manchester City Council</i>	5
(2) Display of Goods for Sale	7
<i>Fisher v Bell</i>	7
<i>Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v Boots Cash Chemists (Southern) Ltd</i>	8
(3) Advertisements	10
<i>Partridge v Crittenden</i>	10
<i>Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Company</i>	11
(4) Auction Sales	15
<i>Barry v Davies</i>	15
(5) Tenders	19
<i>Spencer v Harding</i>	19
<i>Harvela Investments Ltd v Royal Trust Company of Canada (CI) Ltd</i>	20
<i>Blackpool and Fylde Aeroclub Ltd v Blackpool Borough Council</i>	22
3. Acceptance	25
(1) Acceptance by Conduct	26
<i>Brogden v Metropolitan Railway Co</i>	26
(2) ‘Battle of the Forms’	27
<i>Butler Machine Tool Co Ltd v Ex-Cell-O Corporation (England) Ltd</i>	27
(3) Communication of Acceptance	33
(a) The General Rule: Acceptance Must Be Received by Offeror	33
<i>Entores Ltd v Miles Far East Corporation</i>	33
<i>Brinkibon Ltd v Stahag Stahl und Stahlwarenhandels-gesellschaft mbH</i>	35

(b)	Acceptance by Post	37
	<i>Household Fire and Carriage Accident Insurance Co Ltd v Grant</i>	37
	<i>Holwell Securities Ltd v Hughes</i>	39
(c)	Waiver by Offeror of the Need for Communication of Acceptance	41
	<i>Felthouse v Bindley</i>	41
(4)	Prescribed Mode of Acceptance	43
	<i>Manchester Diocesan Council for Education v Commercial and General Investments Ltd,</i>	43
(5)	Acceptance in Ignorance of an Offer	45
	<i>R v Clarke</i>	45
(6)	Acceptance in Unilateral Contracts	47
	<i>Errington v Errington</i>	47
	<i>Soulsbury v Soulsbury</i>	48
4.	Termination of an Offer	49
(1)	Revocation	50
	<i>Byrne & Co v Van Tienhoven & Co</i>	50
	<i>Dickinson v Dodds</i>	51
(2)	Rejection	54
	<i>Hyde v Wrench</i>	54
	<i>Stevenson, Jacques & Co v McLean</i>	54
5.	Problematic Offer and Acceptance	55
	<i>The Satanita</i>	56
	Additional Reading	57
2.	CERTAINTY AND INTENTION TO CREATE LEGAL RELATIONS	61
1.	Certainty	61
(1)	Vagueness	61
	<i>Hillas & Co Ltd v Arcos Ltd</i>	61
	<i>Scammell and Nephew Ltd v Ouston</i>	65
(2)	Price Not Fixed	66
	<i>May and Butcher Ltd v R</i>	66
	<i>Sudbrook Trading Estate Ltd v Eggleton</i>	69
(3)	Agreements to Negotiate	71
	<i>Walford v Miles</i>	71
(4)	A Non-contractual Solution	76
	<i>British Steel Corporation v Cleveland Bridge and Engineering Co Ltd</i>	76
2.	Intention to Create Legal Relations	79
(1)	General	79
	<i>Blue v Ashley</i>	79
(2)	Social and Domestic Agreements	84
	<i>Balfour v Balfour</i>	84
	<i>Jones v Padavatton</i>	86
(3)	Commercial Agreements	87
	<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Commissioners of Customs and Excise</i>	87
	Additional Reading	91

3. CONSIDERATION AND PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL	93
1. Consideration	93
(1) The Consideration Need Not Be Adequate	94
<i>Chappell & Co Ltd v Nestlé Co Ltd</i>	94
(2) Past Consideration Does Not Count	97
<i>Eastwood v Kenyon</i>	97
<i>Pao On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	99
(3) Consideration and the Promise to Perform, or Performance of, a Pre-existing Duty	101
(a) Pre-existing Duty Imposed by the General Law (ie a Non-contractual Duty)	101
<i>Collins v Godefroy</i>	101
<i>Glasbrook Brothers Ltd v Glamorgan County Council</i>	102
<i>Ward v Byham</i>	104
<i>Williams v Williams</i>	105
(b) Pre-existing Duty under a Contract with a Third Party	106
<i>Shadwell v Shadwell</i>	106
<i>Scotson v Pegg</i>	108
<i>Pao On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	109
(c) Pre-existing Duty under a Contract with the Promisor	110
<i>Stilk v Myrick</i>	110
<i>Williams v Roffey Bros & Nicholls (Contractors) Ltd</i>	112
<i>Foakes v Beer</i>	117
<i>Re Selectmove Ltd</i>	121
2. Promissory Estoppel	124
(1) The Emergence of Promissory Estoppel	124
<i>Hughes v Metropolitan Rly Co</i>	124
<i>Central London Property Trust Ltd v High Trees House Ltd</i>	125
<i>Collier v P & MJ Wright (Holdings) Ltd</i>	128
(2) Promissory Estoppel Not a Cause of Action	129
<i>Combe v Combe</i>	129
<i>Crabb v Arun District Council</i>	132
<i>Amalgamated Investment & Property Co Ltd v Texas Commerce International Bank Ltd</i>	136
<i>Waltons Stores (Interstate) Ltd v Maher</i>	138
<i>Baird Textiles Holdings Ltd v Marks & Spencer plc</i>	144
(3) Promissory Estoppel Requires a Clear and Unequivocal Promise	148
<i>Woodhouse AC Israel Cocoa SA v Nigerian Produce Marketing Co Ltd</i>	148
(4) Does Promissory Estoppel Require Reliance or Detrimental Reliance?	149
<i>WJ Alan & Co Ltd v El Nasr Export and Import Co</i>	149
<i>Société Italo-Belge pour le Commerce et l'Industrie SA v Palm and Vegetable Oils (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd, The Post Chaser</i>	152
(5) Promissory Estoppel Cannot be Founded on a Promise Induced by the Promisee's Inequitable Conduct	153
<i>D & C Builders Ltd v Rees</i>	153

(6) Does Promissory Estoppel Extinguish or Suspend Rights?	156
<i>Tool Metal Manufacturing Co Ltd v Tungsten Electric Co Ltd</i>	156
Additional Reading	158

PART TWO: THE TERMS OF A CONTRACT

4. IDENTIFYING THE TERMS	165
1. Terms or Mere Representations?	165
<i>Oscar Chess Ltd v Williams</i>	166
<i>Dick Bentley Productions Ltd v Harold Smith (Motors) Ltd</i>	171
<i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v Mardon</i>	172
2. Incorporation of a Party's Written Terms	174
(1) Signature	175
<i>L'Estrange v F Graucob Ltd</i>	175
<i>Curtis v Chemical Cleaning and Dyeing Co</i>	177
(2) Reasonable Notice	179
<i>Parker v The South Eastern Railway Company</i>	179
<i>Chapelton v Barry Urban District Council</i>	181
<i>Olley v Marlborough Court Ltd</i>	182
<i>Thornton v Shoe Lane Parking Ltd</i>	184
<i>Interfoto Picture Library Ltd v Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd</i>	187
<i>AEG (UK) Ltd v Logic Resource Ltd</i>	190
<i>O'Brien v MGN Ltd</i>	195
(3) Course of Dealing	197
<i>McCutcheon v David MacBrayne Ltd</i>	197
<i>Hollier v Rambler Motors (AMC) Ltd</i>	199
(4) The Parties' Trade Practice	201
<i>British Crane Hire Corporation Ltd v Ipswich Plant Hire Ltd</i>	201
3. Implied Terms	203
(1) Terms Implied by the Courts	203
(a) Terms Implied by Fact	203
<i>The Moorcock</i>	203
<i>Attorney-General of Belize v Belize Telecom Ltd</i>	208
<i>Marks and Spencer plc v BNP Paribas Services Trust Company (Jersey) Limited</i>	212
<i>Yam Seng Pte Limited v International Trade Corporation Limited</i>	218
<i>Sheikh Tahnoon Al Nehayan v Kent</i>	226
(b) Terms Implied by Law	230
<i>Liverpool City Council v Irwin</i>	230
<i>Shell UK Ltd v Lostock Garage Ltd</i>	235
<i>Scally v Southern Health and Social Services Board</i>	237
(2) Terms Implied, or Treated as Included, by Statute	241
(a) Non-Consumer Contracts for the Sale of Goods	242
<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, sections 12–15</i>	242
(b) Consumer Contracts for the Sale of Goods	244
<i>Consumer Rights Act 2015, sections 3, 9–18</i>	244

(c) Non-Consumer Contracts for Services	248
<i>Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982, sections 12–15</i>	248
(d) Consumer Contracts for Services	249
<i>Consumer Rights Act 2015, sections 48–52</i>	249
Additional Reading	251
5. INTERPRETING THE TERMS	253
1. The Modern Approach to Construction	253
<i>Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v West Bromwich</i>	
<i>Building Society</i>	253
<i>Chartbrook Ltd v Persimmon Homes Ltd</i>	256
<i>Arnold v Britton</i>	262
2. Construction of Exemption Clauses	266
(1) Excluding or Limiting Liability for Negligence	267
<i>Alderslade v Hendon Laundry Ltd</i>	267
<i>Canada Steamship Lines Ltd v R</i>	269
<i>Hollier v Rambler Motors (AMC) Ltd</i>	272
(2) Excluding or Limiting Liability for Fundamental Breach	275
<i>Photo Production Ltd v Securicor Transport Ltd</i>	275
(3) Construing Limitation Clauses	280
<i>Ailsa Craig Fishing Co Ltd v Malvern Fishing Co Ltd</i>	280
<i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i>	281
Additional Reading	285
6. STATUTORY CONTROL OF EXEMPTION CLAUSES AND UNFAIR TERMS	289
1. The Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	290
<i>Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, sections 1–3, 6–14, 26–27, 29,</i>	
<i>Schedules 1 and 2</i>	290
(1) Overview of the Central Scheme of UCTA 1977	296
(2) Excluding Liability for Breach of the Statutory Implied Terms in Non-Consumer Contracts for the Sale of Goods and Analogous Contracts	297
(3) Cases on UCTA 1977	298
(a) What Counts as an Exclusion of Liability, or as Equivalent to such an Exclusion, so as to Fall within the Act?	298
<i>Phillips Products Ltd v Hyland</i>	298
<i>Thompson v T Lohan (Plant Hire) Ltd</i>	301
<i>Smith v Eric S Bush</i>	303
<i>Stewart Gill Ltd v Horatio Myer & Co Ltd</i>	305
<i>Tudor Grange Holdings Ltd v Citibank NA</i>	306
(b) Does the Clause Pass, or Fail, the Reasonableness Test?	309
<i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i>	309
<i>Phillips Products Ltd v Hyland</i>	312
<i>Stewart Gill Ltd v Horatio Myer & Co Ltd</i>	315

	<i>St Albans City and District Council v International Computers Ltd</i>	317
	<i>Watford Electronics Ltd v Sanderson CFL Ltd</i>	320
2.	The Consumer Rights Act 2015 (Part 2 Etc)	324
	<i>Consumer Rights Act 2015, sections 2, 3, 31, 48, 57, Part 2, Schedules 2 and 3</i>	324
(1)	Overview of the Consumer Rights Act 2015 Part 2 on Unfair Terms	334
(2)	Overview of the Provisions in the Consumer Rights Act 2015 that Automatically Invalidate Certain Exemption Clauses	335
(3)	Case-law on Unfair Terms	335
	<i>Director General of Fair Trading v First National Bank Plc</i>	335
	<i>ParkingEye Ltd v Beavis</i>	341
(4)	A Note on Good Faith	348
	Additional Reading	348

PART THREE: REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

7.	TERMINATION	353
1.	The Meaning of Termination for Breach	354
	<i>Photo Production Ltd v Securicor Transport Ltd</i>	354
2.	Conditions, Warranties and Innominate Terms	356
	<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, sections 12–15</i>	356
	<i>Hong Kong Fir Shipping Co Ltd v Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd</i>	357
	<i>Maredelanto Compania Naviera SA v Bergbau – Handel GmbH, The Mihalis Angelos</i>	361
	<i>L Schuler AG v Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd</i>	363
	<i>Cehave NV v Bremer Handelsgesellschaft mbH, The Hansa Nord</i>	366
	<i>Bunge Corporation v Tradax Export SA</i>	369
	<i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, section 15A</i>	372
3.	Termination Clauses	373
	<i>Lombard North Central plc v Butterworth</i>	373
	<i>Rice v Great Yarmouth Borough Council</i>	376
4.	Anticipatory Breach	380
	<i>Hochster v De La Tour</i>	380
5.	Restitution after Termination for Breach	382
6.	Consumer Contracts for Goods (or Digital Content)	383
	Additional Reading	384
8.	DAMAGES	387
1.	Compensatory Damages	387
(1)	Basic Issues in Applying the Compensatory Aim	388
(a)	The Precise Aim of Contractual Compensation: Protecting the Expectation Interest	388
	<i>Robinson v Harman</i>	388

(b)	Difference in the Value of Property or Cost of Cure?	389
	<i>Radford v de Froberville</i>	389
	<i>Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v Forsyth</i>	392
(c)	Assessment According to the Chances	397
	<i>Chaplin v Hicks</i>	397
(d)	Contractual Damages Protecting the Reliance Interest?	401
	<i>Anglia TV v Reed</i>	401
	<i>C & P Haulage v Middleton</i>	402
	<i>CCC Films (London) Ltd v Impact Quadrant Films Ltd</i>	405
	<i>Omak Maritime Ltd v Mamola Challenger Shipping Co,</i> <i>The Mamola Challenger</i>	407
(e)	The Date for Assessment of Damages	411
	<i>Golden Strait Corp v Nippon Yusen Kubishika Kaisha,</i> <i>The Golden Victory</i>	411
(2)	Limitations on Compensation	416
(a)	Remoteness	416
	<i>Hadley v Baxendale</i>	416
	<i>Victoria Laundry (Windsor) Ltd v Newman Industries Ltd</i>	417
	<i>Koufos v C Czarnikow Ltd, The Heron II</i>	420
	<i>Parsons (Livestock) Ltd v Uttley Ingham & Co Ltd</i>	423
	<i>Transfield Shipping Inc v Mercator Shipping Inc, The Achilleas</i>	430
	<i>Wellesley Partners LLP v Withers LLP</i>	442
(b)	Intervening Cause	446
	<i>Quinn v Burch Bros (Builders) Ltd</i>	446
	<i>Galoo Ltd v Bright Grahame Murray</i>	447
(c)	The Duty to Mitigate and Mitigation	449
	<i>British Westinghouse Electric v Underground Electric Railways Co</i> <i>of London Ltd</i>	449
(d)	Contributory Negligence	452
	<i>Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945 sections 1(1) and 4</i>	452
	<i>Forsikringsaktieselskapet Vesta v Butcher</i>	452
	<i>Barclays Bank Plc v Fairclough Building Ltd</i>	455
(e)	Mental Distress	458
	<i>Addis v Gramophone Co Ltd</i>	458
	<i>Jarvis v Swan's Tours Ltd</i>	460
	<i>Watts v Morrow</i>	461
	<i>Farley v Skinner</i>	462
(f)	Loss of Reputation	469
	<i>Mahmud v Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA</i>	469
2.	An Account of Profits	473
	<i>Attorney General v Blake</i>	473
3.	Negotiating Damages	479
	<i>Morris-Garner v One Step (Support) Ltd</i>	479
4.	Agreed/Liquidated Damages and Penalties	484
	<i>Cavendish Square Holding BV v Makdessi, ParkingEye Ltd v Beavis</i>	484
	Additional Reading	503

9. DIRECT ENFORCEMENT	509
1. The Award of an Agreed Sum	509
<i>White and Carter (Councils) Ltd v McGregor</i>	510
<i>Clea Shipping Corporation v Bulk Oil International Ltd,</i> <i>The Alaskan Trader</i>	514
<i>Reichman v Beveridge</i>	517
2. Specific Performance	519
(1) The Primary Restriction – Adequacy of Damages	519
<i>Falcke v Gray</i>	519
<i>Sky Petroleum Ltd v VIP Petroleum Ltd</i>	520
<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	521
(2) The Constant Supervision Objection	523
<i>Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd v Argyll Stores (Holdings) Ltd</i>	523
(3) Contracts for Personal Service	528
<i>Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992,</i> <i>section 236</i>	528
<i>Powell v Brent London Borough Council</i>	529
(4) Want of Mutuality	531
<i>Price v Strange</i>	531
3. Injunctions	534
<i>Lumley v Wagner</i>	535
<i>Warner Bros Pictures Inc v Nelson</i>	536
<i>Page One Records v Britton</i>	538
<i>Warren v Mendy</i>	539
<i>LauritzenCool AB v Lady Navigation Inc</i>	542
Additional Reading	545

PART FOUR: PRIVACY OF CONTRACT AND THIRD PARTY RIGHTS

10. PRIVACY OF CONTRACT AND THIRD PARTY RIGHTS	549
1. Introduction	549
2. Four Cases Establishing or Confirming the Privity Doctrine (on its Benefit Side)	550
<i>Tweddle v Atkinson</i>	550
<i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co Ltd v Selfridge</i>	551
<i>Scruttons Ltd v Midland Silicones Ltd</i>	553
<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	556
3. Exceptions to Privity (on its Benefit Side)	561
(1) Agency	562
(2) Assignment	563
(3) Trusts of the Promise	563
(4) Statutory Exceptions	564
(5) Covenants Concerning Land	564
(6) The Tort of Negligence	564

(7) Enforcement by Third Parties of Exemption Clauses	564
<i>New Zealand Shipping Co Ltd v AM Satterthwaite & Co Ltd,</i>	
<i>The Eurymedon</i>	564
4. The Promisee's Remedies in a Contract Made for the Benefit of a Third Party	569
(1) Specific Performance and Injunctions	569
<i>Beswick v Beswick</i>	569
(2) Damages	570
<i>Jackson v Horizon Holidays Ltd</i>	570
<i>Woodar Investment Development Ltd v Wimpey Construction UK Ltd</i>	572
<i>Darlington Borough Council v Wiltshier Northern Ltd</i>	575
<i>Alfred McAlpine Construction Ltd v Panatown Ltd</i>	578
5. The Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999	589
<i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999</i>	589
(1) The Tests of Enforceability	594
<i>Nisshin Shipping Co Ltd v Cleaves & Co Ltd</i>	596
<i>Laemthong International Lines Company Ltd v Artis,</i>	
<i>The Laemthong Glory (No 2)</i>	603
(2) Variation and Rescission by the Contracting Parties	608
(3) Defences Available to the Promisor	609
(4) Promisee's Rights	610
(5) Exclusions from the Act	610
(6) Existing Exceptions	611
(7) The Relationship with the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	611
(8) The Meaning of 'Contract' under the 1999 Act	612
6. Exceptions to the Privity Doctrine on its Burden Side	612
(1) Bailment or Sub-bailment on Terms	612
<i>Morris v C W Martin & Sons Ltd</i>	612
<i>KH Enterprise v Pioneer Container, The Pioneer Container</i>	614
(2) Burden Running with Goods	615
<i>Lord Strathcona Steamship Company Ltd v Dominion Coal</i>	
<i>Company Ltd</i>	615
<i>Swiss Bank Corporation v Lloyds Bank Ltd</i>	618
Additional Reading	621

PART FIVE: FACTORS ALLOWING ESCAPE FROM A CONTRACT

11. MISREPRESENTATION	627
1. Requirements of Misrepresentation	628
(1) False Statement of Fact or Law	628
(a) Statements of Opinion	629
<i>Smith v Land and House Property Corporation</i>	629
<i>Bisset v Wilkinson</i>	630
(b) Statements of Intention	631
<i>Edgington v Fitzmaurice</i>	631

(c) Silence: No Duty of Disclosure	633
<i>With v O'Flanagan</i>	633
(2) Reliance	635
<i>Redgrave v Hurd</i>	635
<i>Edgington v Fitzmaurice</i>	637
2. Rescission for Misrepresentation	639
(1) What Does Rescission Mean?	639
<i>Whittington v Seale-Hayne</i>	640
(2) Bars to Rescission	641
<i>Erlanger v New Sombrero Phosphate Co</i>	641
<i>Leaf v International Galleries</i>	643
<i>Salt v Stratstone Specialist Ltd</i>	645
(3) How Does One Rescind?	648
<i>Car and Universal Finance Co Ltd v Caldwell</i>	648
3. Damages for Misrepresentation	650
(1) Fraudulent Misrepresentation: The Tort of Deceit	650
<i>Derry v Peek</i>	650
<i>Doyle v Olby (Ironmongers) Ltd</i>	652
<i>Smith New Court Ltd v Scrimgeour Vickers (Asset Management) Ltd</i>	653
(2) Negligent Misrepresentation Actionable in the Tort of Negligence	657
<i>Hedley Byrne & Co Ltd v Heller & Partners Ltd</i>	657
<i>Eso Petroleum Co Ltd v Mardon</i>	658
(3) Negligent and Purely Innocent Misrepresentation under the Misrepresentation Act 1967	659
<i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, section 2</i>	659
(a) Overview of Section 2	660
(b) Cases on Section 2(1) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967	660
<i>Howard Marine and Dredging Co Ltd v A Ogden & Sons</i> <i>(Excavations) Ltd</i>	660
<i>Roycot Trust Ltd v Rogerson</i>	662
(c) Cases on Section 2(2) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967	665
<i>William Sindall Plc v Cambridgeshire County Council</i>	665
<i>Salt v Stratstone Specialist Ltd</i>	670
4. Exemption of Liability for Misrepresentation: Misrepresentation Act 1967, Section 3	671
<i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, section 3</i>	672
(1) What Counts as an Exemption Clause Falling Within Section 3?	672
<i>Overbrooke Estates Ltd v Glencombe Properties Ltd</i>	672
<i>Cremdean Properties Ltd v Nash</i>	673
<i>Government of Zanzibar v British Aerospace (Lancaster House) Ltd</i>	675
<i>First Tower Trustees Ltd v CDS (Superstores International) Ltd</i>	677
(2) Does the Clause Pass or Fail the Reasonableness Test?	679
<i>Howard Marine & Dredging Co v A Ogden & Sons (Excavations) Ltd</i>	679
<i>Walker v Boyle</i>	680
<i>Cleaver v Schyde Investments Ltd</i>	682
<i>First Tower Trustees Ltd v CDS (Superstores International) Ltd</i>	684
Additional Reading	686

12. MISTAKE	689
1. Unilateral Mistake	690
(1) The General Position: No Escape for Unilateral Mistake	690
<i>Smith v Hughes</i>	690
(2) Exceptions where Unilateral Mistake Invalidates the Contract	692
(a) Objective Ambiguity	692
<i>Raffles v Wichelhaus</i>	692
<i>Scriven Brothers & Co v Hindley & Co</i>	693
(b) Unilateral Mistake as to Terms or Identity Known to the Other Party	695
<i>Hartog v Colin & Shields</i>	695
<i>Cundy v Lindsay</i>	696
<i>Phillips v Brooks Ltd</i>	698
<i>Ingram v Little</i>	700
<i>Lewis v Averay</i>	702
<i>Shogun Finance Ltd v Hudson</i>	704
(c) Non Est Factum	712
<i>Saunders v Anglia Building Society (sub nom Gallie v Lee)</i>	712
(d) Equitable Rectification for Unilateral Mistake	715
<i>Thomas Bates & Son Ltd v Wyndham's (Lingerie) Ltd</i>	716
<i>Commission for the New Towns v Cooper (GB) Ltd</i>	718
2. Common Mistake	720
(1) The Narrow Scope of Common Mistake Rendering a Contract Void	721
<i>Couturier v Hastie</i>	721
<i>Bell v Lever Brothers Ltd</i>	722
<i>McRae v Commonwealth Disposals Commission</i>	726
<i>Associated Japanese Bank International Ltd v Credit du Nord SA</i>	727
<i>Great Peace Shipping Ltd v Tsavliris Salvage (International) Ltd,</i> <i>The Great Peace</i>	729
<i>Brennan v Bolt Burdon</i>	734
(2) A Wider Equitable Doctrine of Common Mistake Rejected	736
<i>Solle v Butcher</i>	736
<i>Great Peace Shipping Ltd v Tsavliris Salvage (International) Ltd,</i> <i>The Great Peace</i>	739
(3) Equitable Rectification for Common Mistake	741
<i>Rose (Frederick E) (London) v Pim (William H) Junior & Co</i>	741
<i>Joscelyn v Nissen</i>	743
<i>FSHC Group Holdings Limited v GLAS Trust Corporation Limited</i>	745
Additional Reading	749
 13. FRUSTRATION	 753
1. The Early Approach of Absolute Liability	754
<i>Paradine v Jane</i>	754
2. The Kinds of Events that Amount to Frustration	754
(1) Physical Impossibility	754
<i>Taylor v Caldwell</i>	754
<i>Jackson v Union Marine Insurance Co Ltd</i>	756

(2) Legal Impossibility (ie Subsequent Illegality)	757
<i>Metropolitan Water Board v Dick Kerr and Co Ltd</i>	757
(3) Cancellation of Events	759
<i>Krell v Henry</i>	759
<i>Herne Bay Steam Boat Company v Hutton</i>	760
(4) No Frustration merely because Performance made more Onerous/Expensive	762
<i>Davis Contractors Ltd v Fareham Urban District Council</i>	762
<i>Ocean Tramp Tankers Corporation v V/O Sovfracht, The Eugenia</i>	766
3. Factors Excluding Frustration	769
(1) Self-induced Frustration	769
<i>Maritime National Fish Ltd v Ocean Trawlers Ltd</i>	769
<i>J Lauritzen AS v Wijsmuller BV, The Super Servant Two</i>	770
(2) Express Provision	772
<i>Metropolitan Water Board v Dick Kerr and Co Ltd</i>	773
4. The Juristic Basis of Frustration	774
<i>National Carriers v Panalpina (Northern) Ltd</i>	774
5. The Effects of Frustration	777
<i>Law Reform (Frustrated Contracts) Act 1943</i>	779
(1) Overview of the 1943 Act	780
(2) Cases on the 1943 Act	781
<i>BP Exploration Co (Libya) v Hunt (No 2)</i>	781
<i>Gamerco SA v ICM/Fair Warning (Agency) Ltd</i>	788
6. The Relationship Between Common Mistake and Frustration	790
<i>Amalgamated Investment & Property Co v John Walker & Sons Ltd</i>	791
Additional Reading	793
14. DURESS	795
1. Duress of the Person	796
<i>Barton v Armstrong</i>	796
2. Economic Duress	799
(1) Threats to Break (or to Induce Another to Break) a Contract	799
<i>North Ocean Shipping Co Ltd v Hyundai Construction Co Ltd, The Atlantic Baron</i>	799
<i>Pao On v Lau Yiu Long</i>	801
<i>Universe Tankships of Monrovia v International Transport Workers Federation, The Universe Sentinel</i>	802
<i>B & S Contracts & Design Ltd v Victor Green Publications Ltd</i>	806
<i>Atlas Express Ltd v Kafco (Importers and Distributors) Ltd</i>	809
<i>Williams v Roffey Bros & Nicholls (Contractors) Ltd</i>	810
<i>Dimskal Shipping Co SA v International Transport Workers Federation, The Evia Luck</i>	810
<i>Huyton SA v Peter Cremer GmbH & Co</i>	812

(2) ‘Lawful Act’ Duress	817
<i>CTN Cash and Carry Ltd v Gallaher Ltd</i>	818
<i>R v Attorney General for England and Wales</i>	821
<i>Progress Bulk Carriers Ltd v Tube City IMS LLC</i>	822
<i>Times Travel (UK) Ltd v Pakistan International Airlines Corp</i>	824
Additional Reading	827
15. UNDUE INFLUENCE AND EXPLOITATION OF WEAKNESS	829
1. Undue Influence	829
<i>Allcard v Skinner</i>	830
<i>Barclays Bank plc v O’Brien</i>	834
<i>Cheese v Thomas</i>	837
<i>Credit Lyonnais Nederland NV v Burch</i>	839
<i>Royal Bank of Scotland plc v Etridge (No 2)</i>	841
<i>R v Attorney General for England and Wales</i>	855
2. Exploitation of Weakness	858
(1) Exploitation of Mental Weakness	859
<i>Earl of Aylesford v Morris</i>	859
<i>Fry v Lane</i>	861
<i>Cresswell v Potter</i>	862
<i>Boustany v Pigott</i>	864
<i>Portman Building Society v Dusangh</i>	866
(2) Exploitation of the Claimant’s Circumstantial Weakness	868
<i>The Medina</i>	868
<i>Alec Lobb Garages Ltd v Total Oil (GB) Ltd</i>	870
(3) Rejection of a Principle of ‘Inequality of Bargaining Power’	873
<i>Lloyds Bank Ltd v Bundy</i>	873
<i>National Westminster Bank v Morgan</i>	876
Additional Reading	877
<i>Index</i>	881