

THE PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

The privilege against self-incrimination is often represented in the case law of England and Wales as a principle of fundamental importance in the law of criminal procedure and evidence. A logical implication of recognising a privilege against self-incrimination should be that a person is not compellable, on pain of a criminal sanction, to provide information that could reasonably lead to, or increase the likelihood of, her or his prosecution for a criminal offence. Yet there are statutory provisions in England and Wales making it a criminal offence not to provide particular information that, if provided, could be used in a subsequent prosecution of the person providing it. This book examines the operation of the privilege against self-incrimination in criminal proceedings in England and Wales, paying particular attention to the influence of the European Convention on Human Rights and the Human Rights Act 1998. Among the questions addressed are how the privilege might be justified, and whether its scope is clarified sufficiently in the relevant case law (does the privilege apply, for example, to pre-existing material?). Consideration is given where appropriate to the treatment of aspects of the privilege in Australia, Canada, India, New Zealand, the USA and elsewhere.

Volume 10 in the Criminal Law Library series

Criminal Law Library

Volume 1: Self-Defence in Criminal Law

Boaz Sangero

Volume 2: Evidence of Bad Character

John Spencer

Volume 3: The Management and Enforcement of Community-Based Sentences: A Practitioner's Guide

Clare Fazal

Volume 4: Fair Trials: The European Criminal Procedural Tradition and the European Court of Human Rights

Sarah Summers

Volume 5: Hearsay Evidence in Criminal Proceedings

John Spencer

Volume 6: Homicide Law in Comparative Perspective

Edited by Jeremy Horder

Volume 7: Case Management in the Crown Court

HH Judge Roderick Denyer QC

Volume 8: The Presumption of Innocence: Evidential and Human Rights Perspectives

Andrew Stumer

Volume 9: Inquests

John Cooper

The Privilege Against Self-Incrimination and Criminal Justice

Andrew L-T Choo



• H A R T •
PUBLISHING

OXFORD AND PORTLAND, OREGON

2013

Published in the United Kingdom by Hart Publishing Ltd
16C Worcester Place, Oxford, OX1 2JW
Telephone: +44 (0)1865 517530
Fax: +44 (0)1865 510710
E-mail: mail@hartpub.co.uk
Website: <http://www.hartpub.co.uk>

Published in North America (US and Canada) by
Hart Publishing
c/o International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213-3786
USA
Tel: +1 503 287 3093 or toll-free: (1) 800 944 6190
Fax: +1 503 280 8832
E-mail: orders@isbs.com
Website: <http://www.isbs.com>

© Andrew L-T Choo 2013

Andrew L-T Choo has asserted his right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as the author of this work.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Hart Publishing, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Hart Publishing Ltd at the address above.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data Available

ISBN: 978-1-84113-317-1

Typeset by Hope Services, Abingdon
Printed and bound in Great Britain by
TJ International Ltd, Padstow, Cornwall

To my family

Preface

The law of England and Wales, in common with that of other jurisdictions, purports to recognise a privilege against self-incrimination. Much has been published over the years on the overlapping, and larger, topic of the right to silence, and this trend looks set to continue. In comparison, the volume of contemporary literature focusing specifically on issues arising from instances of legal compulsion to provide potentially self-incriminatory information to officials has been considerably smaller. This literature does not include a book-length treatment of the operation of the privilege against self-incrimination in criminal proceedings in England and Wales. It is hoped that the present book will fill the gap and, in doing so, will highlight the doctrinal and theoretical issues that are of particular contemporary concern.

While I hope that the reader will find in it an adequate coverage of the relevant law of England and Wales, this book is not intended to be a comprehensive work of reference and it is not my aim to provide a detailed treatment of all key doctrinal principles. I have often allowed quotations of particular judicial or academic observations to ‘speak for themselves’, in order to convey accurately their thrust and flavour and, quite regularly, the confusion inherent in them. I hope too that such a strategy may on occasion enable practitioners’ attention to be drawn readily to useful material which they will not otherwise have easily uncovered. The references to the academic literature that appear in the footnotes (and in the bibliography) may be used as a starting point for further reading. Throughout the book, consideration is given, where it is felt that it would be enlightening to do so, to the treatment of particular aspects of the privilege against self-incrimination in other jurisdictions. The bulk of the research and writing was completed between late March and early June 2013, and I generally stopped collecting new material, both primary and secondary, after late May 2013. I hope therefore that the law as stated in the book is generally up to date as at the spring of 2013, but every effort has been made to incorporate consideration of anything that has come to hand since then.

In relation to two matters of case citation on which practice is not uniform, I have followed the recommendations made in the fourth edition (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2012) of the *Oxford Standard for the Citation of Legal Authorities* (‘OSCOLA’). The first recommendation is this: ‘Give the year of judgment (not publication) in round brackets when the volumes of the law report series are independently numbered, so that the year of

publication is not needed to find the volume' (page 14). The second is this: 'In some specialist law reports, cases are given case numbers which run consecutively through the volumes, rather than page numbers. . . . In such cases, follow the citation method used by the series in question' (page 18). Thus, for example, *O'Halloran and Francis v UK*, decided in 2007 and reported in 2008 in volume 46 of the European Human Rights Reports as case number 21, commencing at page 397, is cited as '(2007) 46 EHRR 21' rather than as '(2007) 46 EHRR 397' (as on Lexis), '(2008) 46 EHRR 21' (as on Westlaw) or '(2008) 46 EHRR 397'.

It has been a great pleasure working with Hart Publishing, and I am especially grateful to Richard Hart, Rachel Turner and Tom Adams for their courteous professionalism and patience. I am also indebted to a large number of other individuals for their (sometimes unwitting) assistance with this project. Within the legal academy I have received comments from, or benefited from more general discussions with, a good number of specialists in criminal procedure and evidence, including Andrew Ashworth, Ian Dennis, Sue Easton, Dimitrios Giannouloupoulos, Richard Glover, Jill Hunter, John Jackson, Roger Leng, Jenny McEwan, Susan Nash, David Ormerod, Abenaa Owusu-Bempah, Mike Redmayne, Andy Roberts, Paul Roberts, Jonathan Rogers, Jennie Temkin and Simon Young. I presented some of my earlier and more tentative ideas on the privilege against self-incrimination at the University of Nottingham (in February 2010 and September 2010, at two different events), at the University of New South Wales (in April 2010) and at City University London (in February 2013), and I am grateful for the comments that I received from the participants at these various events. The (linked) April 2010 and September 2010 events culminated in the publication of my essay, "Give Us What You Have" – Information, Compulsion and the Privilege against Self-Incrimination as a Human Right', as a chapter in P Roberts and J Hunter (eds), *Criminal Evidence and Human Rights: Reimagining Common Law Procedural Traditions* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2012) 239–58. Writing the present book has provided me with the opportunity of developing and refining some of the ideas and themes explored originally in that chapter. I must also acknowledge my gratitude to my family as well as to the friends who, over the past several months, have gently and tactfully inquired about the progress of my work, especially Annelies, Mark K, Mark W, Mary, Neil, Sharmila and Vijay. Their support and cheerful encouragement are much appreciated.

AL-T Choo
London
September 2013

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Conventions</i>	xxvii
<i>Table of International Treaties</i>	xxviii
1. Introduction: Origins, Rationales and the Relevant Legal Framework	1
1. Origins and Rationales	2
1.1 Epistemic Considerations	3
1.2 Non-Epistemic Considerations	6
2. The Relevant Legal Framework	11
2.1 The Context: A ‘Social’ or ‘Moral’ Duty of Co-operation	12
2.2 Some General Principles	13
3. Organisation of the Book	21
2. The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights and the Response of the Law of England and Wales	22
1. <i>Funke v France</i>	23
2. <i>Saunders v UK</i>	24
3. Prosecutions for Failure to Provide (Accurate) Information	27
3.1 The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights Post- <i>Funke v France</i>	27
3.2 The Case Law in England and Wales	30
4. Use of ‘Compelled Information’	34
4.1 ‘Use Immunity’	34
4.2 Is There a Principle of ‘Derivative-Use Immunity’?	35
4.3 Is the Information ‘Compelled Information’?	39
5. Concluding Comments	40
3. What Is ‘Information’?	42
1. The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights	43
2. The Case Law in England and Wales	46
3. US Constitutional Law	50
3.1 Voice	54
3.2 Handwriting	55
3.3 Sobriety Testing	55

x *Contents*

4. Australia	56
5. New Zealand	57
6. Canada	58
7. India	59
8. International Criminal Law: The ICTY	60
9. Concluding Comments	61
4. Identifying the ‘Essence’ of the Privilege	62
1. An ‘Absolute’ Right?	62
2. The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights	63
3. The Case Law in England and Wales	70
4. Canada	74
5. Concluding Comments	78
5. ‘Indirect’ Compulsion: Confessions and Inferences From Silence	80
1. Questioning Suspects	80
1.1 Mandatory Exclusion and Mandatory Directions	81
1.2 Legal Advice	84
1.3 Cautioning Suspects	89
1.4 Alternatives to Judicial Discretion	90
1.5 Police Informants	95
1.6 ‘Safety Interviews’	99
2. Adverse Inferences From Silence	100
2.1 Pre-Trial Silence	101
2.2 Silence at Trial	108
3. Concluding Comments	112
6. Concluding Thoughts	113
Appendix 1	121
Appendix 2	124
Appendix 3	131
<i>Bibliography</i>	135
<i>Index</i>	149

Table of Cases

Australia

<i>Application under the Major Crime (Investigative Powers) Act 2004, Re</i> [2009] VSC 381	36
<i>Em v The Queen</i> [2007] HCA 46	97
<i>Environment Protection Authority v Caltex Refining Co Pty Ltd</i> (1993) 178 CLR 477	9, 20
<i>R v Swaffield; Pavic v The Queen</i> (1998) 192 CLR 159, [1998] HCA 1.....	97
<i>Sorby v Commonwealth</i> (1983) 152 CLR 281.....	57
<i>Tofilau v The Queen</i> [2007] HCA 39.....	97
<i>X7 v Australian Crime Commission</i> [2013] HCA 29	16

Canada

<i>Application under s 83.28 of the Criminal Code, Re</i> 2004 SCC 42, [2004] 2 SCR 248	36
<i>Phillips v Nova Scotia (Commission of Inquiry into the Westray Mine Tragedy)</i> [1995] 2 SCR 97	36
<i>R v Broyles</i> [1991] 3 SCR 595	97
<i>R v Grant</i> 2009 SCC 32, [2009] 2 SCR 353	12
<i>R v Jarvis</i> 2002 SCC 73, [2002] 3 SCR 757.....	74
<i>R v Liew</i> [1999] 3 SCR 227	97
<i>R v Noble</i> [1997] 1 SCR 874	111
<i>R v Prokofiew</i> 2012 SCC 49, [2012] 2 SCR 639	111
<i>R v S(RJ)</i> [1995] 1 SCR 451	36
<i>R v SAB</i> 2003 SCC 60, [2003] 2 SCR 678.....	58, 74, 76–77, 116
<i>R v Sinclair</i> 2010 SCC 35, [2010] 2 SCR 310	81
<i>R v White</i> [1999] 2 SCR 417	39, 74–75
<i>Thomson Newspapers Ltd v Canada</i> [1990] 1 SCR 425	12
<i>United States of America v Ross</i> (1995) 41 CR (4th) 358	36

European Court of Human Rights

<i>Al-Khawaja and Tahery v United Kingdom</i> (2011) 54 EHRR 23	117
<i>Allan v United Kingdom</i> (2002) 36 EHRR 12	95, 98, 118
<i>Allen v United Kingdom</i> (2002) 35 EHRR CD289	29–30

xii *Table of Cases*

Beckles v United Kingdom (2002) 36 EHRR 13 102
Bykov v Russia App no 4378/02 (ECtHR Grand Chamber, 10 March 2009)..... 98
Condron v United Kingdom (2000) 31 EHRR 1 102–03
Engel v Netherlands (1976) 1 EHRR 647..... 23
Funke v France (1993) 16 EHRR 297..... 23–24, 26–28, 30–31, 33, 43, 47, 114
Heaney and McGuinness v Ireland (2000) 33 EHRR 12..... 27–28, 63
IJL, GMR and AKP v United Kingdom (2000) 33 EHRR 11 26
JB v Switzerland App no 31827/96 (ECtHR, 3 May 2001)..... 43–44, 114
Jalloh v Germany (2006) 44 EHRR 32 8, 44–45, 49, 60, 63, 66, 76, 114, 117
Kaçiu and Kotorri v Albania App nos 33192/07 and 33194/07 (ECtHR, 25 June 2013) 85
Kansal v United Kingdom (2004) 39 EHRR 31 26
King v United Kingdom (2003) 37 EHRR CD1 30
Konak v Turkey App no 3042/05 (ECtHR, 3 September 2013) 85
Lüchhof and Spanner v Austria App nos 58452/00 and 61920/00 (ECtHR, 10 January 2008)..... 67
Martin v Estonia App no 35985/09 (ECtHR, 30 May 2013) 85, 95
Marttinen v Finland App no 19235/03 (ECtHR, 21 April 2009)..... 23, 28
Murray v United Kingdom (1996) 22 EHRR 29..... 100
O’Halloran and Francis v United Kingdom (2007) 46 EHRR 21..... 65–71, 79, 116, 118
Öztürk v Germany (1984) 6 EHRR 409 23
Rieg v Austria App no 63207/00 (ECtHR, 24 March 2005) 29, 40
Salduz v Turkey (2008) 49 EHRR 19..... 84–86, 89
Saunders v United Kingdom (1996) 23 EHRR 313 11, 21, 24–26, 29, 31, 34, 37, 42–47, 62–63, 78–79
Schenk v Switzerland (1988) 13 EHRR 242 95
Shannon v United Kingdom (2005) 42 EHRR 31 27–28, 63
Sorokins and Sorokina v Latvia App no 45476/04 (ECtHR, 28 May 2013) 85, 95
Weh v Austria (2004) 40 EHRR 37 28–30, 40
Yılmaz v Turkey App no 11022/05 (ECtHR, 4 June 2013) 85

Hong Kong

Secretary for Justice v Latker [2009] 2 HKC 100 (CA) 68

India

Kasab v State of Maharashtra [2012] INSC 476 94
Selvi v State of Karnataka [2010] INSC 340..... 59

International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY)

Prosecutor v Delalic (Trial Chamber of the ICTY, 19 January 1998) 60, 114

New Zealand

Busby v Thorn EMI Video Programmes Ltd [1984] 1 NZLR 461 (CA)19
Cropp v Judicial Committee and McKenzie [2008] NZSC 46, [2008]
 3 NZLR 774.....57
Singh v R [2010] NZSC 161, (2010) 25 CRNZ 112 18–19

United Kingdom

AT & T Istel Ltd v Tully [1993] AC 45 (HL) 5, 13, 15–16, 42, 47
Akciné Bendrovė Bankas Snoras (in bankruptcy) v Antonov [2013]
 EWHC 131 (Comm).....14
Ambrose v Harris (Procurator Fiscal, Oban) [2011] UKSC 43, [2011]
 1 WLR 243586
Associated Provincial Picture Houses, Ltd v Wednesbury Corporation
 [1948] 1 KB 223 (CA).....91
Attorney-General for Gibraltar v May [1999] 1 WLR 998 (CA)14
Attorney-General's Reference (No 7 of 2000) [2001] EWCA Crim 888,
 [2001] 1 WLR 1879..... 46–47
Beghal v Director of Public Prosecutions [2013] EWHC 2573
 (Admin)33, 35, 74, 116
Blunt v Park Lane Hotel, Ltd [1942] 2 KB 253 (CA)13
Boyle v Wiseman (1855) 10 Ex 647, 156 ER 598.....17
Brannigan v Davison [1997] AC 238 (PC)..... 7, 16
Brown v Stott [2003] 1 AC 681 (PC).....46, 71–72
C plc v P [2007] EWCA Civ 493, [2008] Ch 147
Cadder v HM Advocate [2010] UKSC 43, [2010] 1 WLR 2601 86, 89
Charles v The Queen [2007] UKPC 4784
Director of Public Prosecutions v Wilson [2001] EWHC Admin 198,
 (2001) 165 JP 715.....71
Greater Manchester Police v Andrews [2011] EWHC 1966 (Admin),
 [2012] ACD 1850
Greenan v HM Advocate [2006] HCJAC 80, 2007 JC 181.....18
HM Advocate v P [2011] UKSC 44, [2011] 1 WLR 249737–38, 86
Hudson v Director of Public Prosecutions [1992] RTR 27 (DC).....34
Jude v HM Advocate [2011] UKSC 55, 2012 SC (UKSC) 22286
Kinloch v HM Advocate [2012] UKSC 62, [2013] 2 WLR 14198
Lam v R [1991] 2 AC 212 (PC).....38

<i>Lukstins v HM Advocate</i> [2012] HCJAC 146, 2013 SLT 11.....	44
<i>Mawdesley v Chief Constable of Cheshire Constabulary</i> [2003] EWHC 1586 (Admin), [2004] 1 WLR 1035.....	71
<i>McGowan v B</i> [2011] UKSC 54, [2011] 1 WLR 3121	86
<i>Milsom v Ablyazov</i> [2011] EWHC 1846 (Ch), [2012] Lloyd's Rep FC 98	43
<i>Mohammed v The State</i> [1999] 2 AC 111 (PC)	94
<i>Mohan v Mohan</i> [2013] EWCA Civ 586, [2013] CP Rep 36	72
<i>Mohd Ali bin Burut v Public Prosecutor (Brunei)</i> [1995] 2 AC 579 (PC)	82
<i>O Ltd v Z</i> [2005] EWHC 238 (Ch)	15–16
<i>Phillips v News Group Newspapers Ltd</i> [2012] UKSC 28, [2013] 1 AC 1..	13, 16
<i>R v Alladice</i> (1988) 87 Cr App R 380 (CA)	88, 90
<i>R v Allan</i> [2004] EWCA Crim 2236	96
<i>R v B</i> [2003] EWCA Crim 3080.....	101
<i>R v Berriman</i> (1854) 6 Cox CC 388.....	38
<i>R v Birchall</i> [1998] EWCA Crim 177	110
<i>R v Bresa</i> [2005] EWCA Crim 1414.....	101–02
<i>R v Christou</i> [1992] QB 979 (CA)	91
<i>R v Compton</i> [2002] EWCA Crim 2835	107
<i>R v Cowan</i> [1996] QB 373 (CA).....	110
<i>R v Crampton</i> (1990) 92 Cr App R 369 (CA).....	82
<i>R v Director of Serious Fraud Office, ex parte Smith</i> [1993] AC 1 (HL)	11, 15, 47
<i>R v Dixon</i> [2013] EWCA Crim 465, (2013) 177 JP 361.....	109–10
<i>R v Doyle</i> [2002] EWCA Crim 1176.....	90
<i>R v Dunford</i> (1990) 91 Cr App R 150 (CA).....	88, 90
<i>R v Emmerson</i> (1990) 92 Cr App R 284 (CA)	82
<i>R v Foster</i> [2003] EWCA Crim 178	82
<i>R v Friend</i> [1997] 1 WLR 1433 (CA)	109
<i>R v Fulling</i> [1987] QB 426 (CA).....	82
<i>R v Gill</i> [2003] EWCA Crim 2256, [2004] 1 WLR 469.....	89–90
<i>R v Goldenberg</i> (1988) 88 Cr App R 285 (CA).....	82, 90
<i>R v Hall-Chung</i> [2007] EWCA Crim 3429.....	103
<i>R v Hertfordshire County Council, ex parte Green Environmental Industries Ltd</i> [2000] 2 AC 412 (HL)	16, 30–34, 37, 47, 115
<i>R v Hoare</i> [2004] EWCA Crim 784, [2005] 1 WLR 1804.....	102
<i>R v Hobson</i> [2013] EWCA Crim 819, [2013] 2 Cr App R 27	110
<i>R v Horncastle</i> [2009] UKSC 14, [2010] 2 AC 373	113
<i>R v Howell</i> [2003] EWCA Crim 1, [2005] 1 Cr App R 1.....	102
<i>R v Ibrahim</i> [2008] EWCA Crim 880, [2009] 1 WLR 578	99
<i>R v Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales, ex parte Nawaz</i> [1997] PNLR 433 (QB).....	15, 17
<i>R v Jelen</i> (1989) 90 Cr App R 456 (CA)	91
<i>R v K(A)</i> [2009] EWCA Crim 1640, [2010] QB 343.....	16, 71
<i>R v Kearns</i> [2002] EWCA Crim 748, [2002] 1 WLR 2815.....	33, 46, 73, 116

<i>R v Khan</i> [2007] EWCA Crim 2331.....	17
<i>R v Kinglake</i> (1870) 11 Cox CC 499 (QB)	18
<i>R v Kirk</i> [2000] 1 WLR 567 (CA).....	89
<i>R v Knight</i> [2003] EWCA Crim 1977, [2004] 1 WLR 340.....	102
<i>R v McKenzie</i> [1993] 1 WLR 453 (CA)	83
<i>R v Mushtaq</i> [2005] UKHL 25, [2005] 1 WLR 1513.....	71, 83, 117
<i>R v Newell</i> [2012] EWCA Crim 650, [2012] 1 WLR 3142.....	105
<i>R v O’Leary</i> (1988) 87 Cr App R 387 (CA).....	91
<i>R v Padellec</i> [2012] EWCA Crim 1956.....	50
<i>R v Paris</i> (1992) 97 Cr App R 99 (CA)	82
<i>R v Parris</i> (1988) 89 Cr App R 68 (CA).....	88
<i>R v Raphaela</i> [1996] EWCA Crim 374, [1996] <i>Criminal Law Review</i> 812 (CA).....	91
<i>R v Rochford</i> [2010] EWCA Crim 1928, [2011] 1 WLR 534	105
<i>R v S(F)</i> [2008] EWCA Crim 2177, [2009] 1 WLR 1489.....	15, 22, 48–50, 52, 114–15
<i>R v Samuel</i> [1988] QB 615 (CA)	87–88, 91
<i>R v Seaton</i> [2010] EWCA Crim 1980, [2011] 1 WLR 623	103
<i>R v Senior</i> [2004] EWCA Crim 454, [2004] 3 All ER 9	90
<i>R v Tabbakh</i> [2009] EWCA Crim 464, (2009) 173 JP 201	109
<i>R v Turner</i> [2003] EWCA Crim 3108, [2004] 1 All ER 1025	102
<i>R v Wahab</i> [2002] EWCA Crim 1570, [2003] 1 Cr App R 15	82
<i>R v Walsh</i> (1989) 91 Cr App R 161 (CA)	88
<i>R v Warickshall</i> (1783) 1 Leach 263, 168 ER 234.....	38
<i>R v Williams (Orette)</i> [2012] EWCA Crim 2162, [2013] 1 WLR 1200	78
<i>R (CPS) v Bolton Magistrates’ Court</i> [2003] EWHC 2697 (Admin), [2004] 1 WLR 835	18
<i>R (Firth) v Epping Justices</i> [2011] EWHC 388 (Admin), [2011] 1 WLR 1818.....	105
<i>R (Malik) v Manchester Crown Court</i> [2008] EWHC 1362 (Admin), [2008] 4 All ER 403	16, 47
<i>Rice v Connolly</i> [1966] 2 QB 414 (DC)	12
<i>Ridehalgh v Director of Public Prosecutions</i> [2005] EWHC 1100 (Admin), [2005] RTR 26.....	90
<i>Rio Tinto Zinc Corporation v Westinghouse Electric Corporation</i> [1978] AC 547 (HL)	14–15
<i>Rottmann v Brittain</i> [2009] EWCA Civ 473, [2010] 1 WLR 67	15
<i>Sheldrake v Director of Public Prosecutions</i> [2004] UKHL 43, [2005] 1 AC 264.....	78
<i>Timothy v The State</i> [2000] 1 WLR 485 (PC)	38
<i>Thompson v The Queen</i> [1998] AC 811 (PC).....	91
<i>Triplex Safety Glass Co Ltd v Lancegay Safety Glass (1934) Ltd</i> [1939] 2 KB 395 (CA).....	19

United States of America

<i>Berghuis v Thompkins</i> 130 S Ct 2250 (2010).....	93
<i>Brown v Walker</i> 161 US 591 (1896)	7
<i>Dickerson v United States</i> 530 US 428 (2000).....	91–93
<i>Gilbert v California</i> 388 US 263 (1967).....	55
<i>Grand Jury Subpoena Duces Tecum Dated March 25, 2011,</i> <i>Re</i> 670 F 3d 1335 (11th Cir 2012)	52, 54
<i>Griffin v California</i> 380 US 609 (1965)	111
<i>Kastigar v United States</i> 406 US 441 (1972)	37
<i>Miranda v Arizona</i> 384 US 436 (1966).....	91–94, 100
<i>Murphy v Waterfront Commission</i> 378 US 52 (1964).....	3, 7
<i>New York v Quarles</i> 467 US 649 (1984).....	93
<i>Patterson v New York</i> 432 US 197 (1977).....	3
<i>Pennsylvania v Muniz</i> 496 US 582 (1990).....	55–56
<i>Quarles and Butler, Re</i> 158 US 532 (1895)	12
<i>Quinn v United States</i> 349 US 155 (1955)	3
<i>Salinas v Texas</i> 133 S Ct 2174 (2013).....	17, 93
<i>Schmerber v California</i> 384 US 757 (1966)	50, 56
<i>US v Dionisio</i> 410 US 1 (1973)	55
<i>US v Hubbell</i> 530 US 27 (2000)	51–53, 114, 131
<i>US v Wade</i> 388 US 218 (1967)	54
<i>US v White</i> 322 US 694 (1944).....	7, 20

Table of Legislation

Australia

Evidence Act 2011 (ACT)	21
s128(8)	37
Evidence Act 1995 (Cth)	21
Evidence Act 1995 (NSW)	21
Evidence Act 2001 (Tas)	21
Evidence Act 2008 (Vic)	21
Evidence (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2011 (NT)	21, 36
Uniform Evidence Legislation	
s128	36
s128(4)(b)	36
s128(5)	36
s128(7)	36
s128(7)(a)	36
s128(7)(b)	36
s187	20

Austria

Motor Vehicles Act	
s130(2)	28–29

Canada

Charter of Rights and Freedoms	58, 75–76, 81
s7	36, 58, 74
s8	76–77
s11(d)	58
s13	35–36, 58
Motor Vehicle Act 1979 (British Columbia)	
s61	39–40
s61(1)	39
Criminal Code	
ss 487.04–487.09	76

India

Constitution	94
art20(3)	59

New Zealand

Evidence Act 2006	1, 21, 57
s4	1
s51(3)	57
s60	57
s60(1)(b)	19

Trinidad and Tobago

Constitution	
s5(2)(c)(ii)	93

United Kingdom

Banking Act 2009	
Pt 2	125
Pt 3	125
Building Societies Act 1986	
s57	127
s57(5)	127
s57(5A)	127
s57(5A)(a)	127
s57(5A)(b)	127
s57(5B)	127
s57(5B)(a)	127
Civil Evidence Act 1968	
s14	13, 15
s14(1)	13–14
s14(1)(a)	13–14
s14(1)(b)	13–15
s14(2)	14
s14(3)	14
s14(4)	14
s14(4)(a)	14
s14(4)(b)	14

s14(5).....	14
Companies Act 1985	
s434	24, 78, 124
s434(5)	124
s434(5A)	124
s434(5A)(a)	124
s434(5A)(b)	124
s434(5B)	124
s434(5B)(a).....	124
s436	24, 78
s447	125
s447A.....	125
s447A(1)	125
s447A(2)	125
s447A(2)(a)	125
s447A(2)(b)	125
s447A(3)	125
s447A(3)(a)	125
s447A(3)(b)	125
s451	125
Companies Act 1989	
s83	128
s83(6)	128
s83(6A)	128
s83(6A)(a)	128
s83(6A)(b)	128
s83(6B).....	128
s83(6B)(a)	128
s83(6B)(b)	128
s85	128
Companies (Audit, Investigations and Community Enterprise) Act 2004	
sch 7	
para 1.....	130
para 3.....	130
para 3(1).....	130
para 3(2).....	130
para 3(2)(a)	130
para 3(2)(b)	130
para 3(3).....	130
para 3(3)(a)	130
para 3(3)(b)	130
para 5.....	130
Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986	126
ss 6–10	126

s15	126
s19(c)	126
s20	126
s20(1)	126
s20(2)	126
s20(2)(a)	126
s20(2)(b)	126
s20(3)	126
s20(3)(a)	126
s20(3)(a)(i)	126
s20(3)(a)(ii)	126
s20(3)(b)	127
s20(3)(b)(i)	127
s20(3)(b)(ii)	127
s20(3)(c)	127
sch 1	126
Competition Act 1998	
ss 26–28A	128
s30A	128
s30A(a)	128
s30A(b)	128
Counter–Terrorism Act 2008	
s22	101
Criminal Evidence Act 1898	
s1(2)	17, 109
Criminal Justice Act 1987	
s2	127
s2(8)	127
s2(8)(a)	127
s2(8)(b)	127
s2(8AA)	127
s2(14)	127
s2(14)(a)	128
s2(14)(b)	128
Criminal Justice Act 2003	17
s101	109
Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994	
s34	101–03, 107–08
s34(1)	101, 107
s34(1)(a)–(c)	101
s34(2)	101
s34(2A)	101
s35	109
s35(1)(b)	108–10

s35(2).....	108
s35(3).....	108
s35(4).....	109
s35(5)(a).....	109
s36.....	106–08
s36(1).....	106
s36(1)(a).....	106
s36(1)(a)(i)–(iv).....	106
s36(1)(b).....	106
s36(1)(c).....	106
s36(1)(d).....	106
s36(2).....	106
s36(3).....	106
s36(4).....	107
s36(4A).....	107
s37.....	107–08
s37(1).....	107
s37(1)(a).....	107
s37(1)(b).....	107
s37(1)(c).....	107
s37(1)(d).....	107
s37(2).....	107
s37(3).....	107
s37(3A).....	107
Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996	
s3.....	104
s3(1)(a).....	103
s5(1)(b).....	104
s5(5).....	104
s6A.....	104–05
s6A(1).....	104
s6A(1)(a).....	104
s6A(1)(b).....	104
s6A(1)(c).....	104
s6A(1)(ca).....	104
s6A(1)(d).....	104
s6A(2).....	104
s6A(2)(a).....	104
s6A(2)(b).....	104
s6A(3).....	104
s11(5)(a).....	105
s11(5)(b).....	105
Criminal Procedure (Legal Assistance, Detention and Appeals) (Scotland) Act 2010.....	
	86–87

Criminal Procedure (Scotland) Act 1995	
s15A	87
s15A(3)	87
s15A(3)(a)	87
s15A(3)(b)	87
s15A(8)	87
Data Protection Act 1998	
s7	122
sch 7	
para 11	122
para 11(1)	122
para 11(1A)	122
para 11(1A)(a)	122
Employment Agencies Act 1973	
s9	34, 124
s9(2A)	34, 124
s9(2B)	34–35, 124
s9(2B)(a)	35, 124
s9(2B)(b)	35, 124
Enterprise Act 2002	
s188	128
Environmental Protection Act 1990	
s33	32
s71(2)	30–31
Financial Services and Markets Act 2000	
s123	129
s174	128
s174(1)	128
s174(2)	129
s174(2)(a)	129
s174(2)(b)	129
s174(3)	129
s174(3)(a)	129
s174(3)(b)	129
s177(4)	129
s398	129
Human Rights Act 1998	20
s2	20
s6	20
s6(1)	20
s6(2)	20
s6(3)(a)	20
Insolvency Act 1985	125
Insolvency Act 1986	73–74, 125–26

pt IX, ch VI.....	46
s22(6).....	126
s47(6).....	126
s48(8).....	126
s66(6).....	126
s67(8).....	126
s95(8).....	126
s98(6).....	126
s99(3)(a).....	126
s131(7).....	126
s192(2).....	126
s208(1)(a).....	126
s208(1)(d).....	126
s208(2).....	126
s210.....	126
s235(5).....	126
s289.....	33
s291.....	46
s291(6).....	46
s353(1).....	126
s354(1)(b).....	126
s354(3).....	126
s354(3)(a).....	33, 73–74
s356(1).....	126
s356(2)(a).....	126
s356(2)(b).....	126
s433.....	125
s433(1).....	125
s433(1)(a).....	125
s433(1)(aa).....	125
s433(1)(b).....	125
s433(2).....	73, 125–26
s433(2)(a).....	125
s433(2)(b).....	125
s433(3).....	73, 126
s433(3)(a).....	126
s433(3)(b).....	126
s433(3)(b)(i).....	126
s433(3)(b)(ii).....	126
s433(3)(c).....	126
s433(3)(c)(i).....	126
s433(3)(c)(ii).....	126
s433(3)(d).....	126
sch 7, para 4(3)(a).....	126

Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002	
s134	130
s135	130
s137	130
s139	130
s139(1)	130
s139(2)	130
Perjury Act 1911	
s1	126
s2	124, 126–28
s5	35, 122, 124–30
Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984	
s58	87, 91
s58(1)	87–89
s58(1)	87
s58(4)	87
s66	87
s76	83
s76(2)	38, 81, 83, 90, 94
s76(2)(a)	81–82
s76(2)(b)	81–82
s76(3)	90
s76(4)(a)	38
s76(5)	38
s76(8)	82
s77	83
s78	47, 49, 72, 79, 90–91, 99–100, 103, 115
s78(1)	34, 37, 50, 83, 88–91, 115
Proceeds of Crime Act 2002	
sch 6	
para 2	129
para 2(3)	129
para 2(4)	129
para 2(4)(a)	129
para 2(4)(b)	130
para 2(5)	130
para 2(5)(a)	130
para 2(5)(b)	130
Property Misdescriptions Act 1991	
sch 1	
para 3(1)	121–22
para 5	121
para 5(1)	121
para 5(1)(a)	121
para 5(1)(b)	121

para 5(1)(c).....	121
para 8.....	122
Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000	
s49.....	48
s53(1).....	48
Road Traffic Act 1988	
s172.....	66–67, 71
s172(2).....	65–66
s172(2)(a).....	66–67, 71
s172(2)(b).....	66
s172(3).....	66
Terrorism Act 2000	
s38B.....	41
s49.....	50
s53.....	50
sch 5.....	129
para 13.....	129
para 13(4).....	129
para 13(4)(b).....	129
para 14.....	129
para 14(1).....	129
para 14(1)(a).....	129
para 14(1)(b).....	129
sch 7.....	33, 35
Weights and Measures Act 1985	
pts II–IV.....	121
pt VI.....	121
ss 38–40.....	121
s81.....	121
s81(1).....	121
s81(1)(a).....	121
s81(1)(b).....	121
s81(3).....	121
Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999	
s33BA.....	110
s59.....	34
sch 3.....	34

Statutory Instruments

Consolidated Criminal Practice Direction.....	108
para IV.44.2.....	108
para IV.44.3.....	108
para IV.44.4.....	108

para IV.44.5	108
Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations (SI 2008/1277)	122
reg 21	122
reg 23	122
reg 23(1)	122
reg 23(1)(a)	122
reg 23(1)(b)	122
reg 23(1)(c)	122
reg 23(3)	122
Criminal Procedure Rules	105
Judges' Rules	94
Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) Codes of Practice	90
Code C	87, 119–20
para 10.1	89
para 10.5	102
Code E	87
Proceeds of Crime (Northern Ireland) Order 1996	27
Textile Products (Labelling and Fibre Composition) Regulations (SI 2012/1102)	
reg 13	123
reg 16	123
reg 16(1)	123
reg 16(1)(a)	123
reg 16(1)(b)	123
reg 16(1)(c)	123
reg 16(3)	123

United States of America

Fifth Amendment to the US Constitution	37, 50–51, 54–56, 93, 111
18 USC § 6002	37
18 USC § 6002(1)	37
18 USC § 6002(2)	37
18 USC § 6002(3)	37

Table of Conventions

European Convention on Human Rights	20, 24, 78, 119
art3.....	8–9, 44–45, 65, 117, 119
art6.....	20, 23–24, 33, 46, 48, 62, 70–73, 83, 85, 95, 103, 118
art6(1).....	20, 23, 26–29, 43, 50, 62–67, 70–71, 73–74, 78–79, 84–86, 95–96, 98, 110, 115–16, 118
art6(2).....	20, 24, 78, 110
art6(3).....	20
art6(3)(c).....	84–86
art6(3)(d)	117
art8.....	9, 119
art8(1).....	9
art8(2).....	9

Table of International Treaties

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights	
art14(3)(g).....	20
Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court	
art55(1)(a).....	60
art67(1)(g).....	60
Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda	
art20(4)(g).....	60
Statute of the ICTY	
art21(4)(g).....	60